

MULTIPLICITY FORMULA FOR RESTRICTION OF REPRESENTATIONS OF $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ TO $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$

SHIV PRAKASH PATEL AND DIPENDRA PRASAD

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove a certain multiplicity formula regarding the restriction of an irreducible admissible genuine representation of a 2-fold cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ to the 2-fold cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$, and find in particular that this multiplicity may not be one, a result that seems to have been noticed before. The proofs follow the standard path via Waldspurger's analysis of theta correspondence between $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper will be concerned with certain 2-fold covers of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ to be called the metaplectic covering of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, where E is a non-Archimedean local field. We recall that there is a unique (up to isomorphism) non-trivial 2-fold cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ called the metaplectic cover and denoted by $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ in this paper, but there are many inequivalent 2-fold coverings of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ which extend this 2-fold covering of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$. We fix a covering of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ as follows. Observe that $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ is the semi-direct product of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ and $E^\times$, where $E^\times$ sits inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ as $e \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

This action of $E^\times$ on $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ lifts uniquely to an action of $E^\times$ on $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$. Denote $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E) = \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E) \rtimes E^\times$ and call this the metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$. Thus the metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ that we consider in this paper is that cover of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ which extends the metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ and is further split on the subgroup $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : e \in E^\times \right\}$. Moreover, we have the following short exact sequence of locally compact topological groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{GL}_2(E) \longrightarrow 1.$$

For any subset X of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ we write $\tilde{X}$ for its inverse image in $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$. Let Z be the center of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ which we identify with $E^\times$. It can be checked that $\tilde{Z}$ is an abelian subgroup of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ but is not the center of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$; the center of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ is

Date: May 26, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E35; Secondary 22E50.

Key words and phrases. Covering groups, multiplicity formula, restriction of representations.

$\tilde{Z}^2$. The centralizer of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ inside $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ is $\tilde{Z}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+ = \tilde{Z} \cdot \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$. Let μ be a genuine character of $\tilde{Z}$ and τ an irreducible admissible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$. We say that μ and τ are compatible if $\mu|_{\{\pm 1\}} = \omega_\tau$ where ω_τ is the central character of τ and if so, we define a representation $\mu\tau$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$ whose restriction to $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ is τ and central character is μ . One may choose the representatives of the quotient $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)/\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+ \cong E^\times/E^{\times 2}$ to be $g(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $a \in E^\times$ representing a coset of $E^{\times 2}$. We write $(\mu\tau)^a$ for the conjugate representation of $\mu\tau$ by the element $g(a)$. Since the quadratic Hilbert symbol is non-degenerate, if $a \in E^\times - E^{\times 2}$ then $\mu \neq \mu^a$ where $\mu^a(\tilde{z}) = \mu(\tilde{z})(a, z)$ with $z = p(\tilde{z})$. It follows that if $a \in E^\times - E^{\times 2}$ then $\mu\tau \not\cong (\mu\tau)^a$, indeed the central characters $\mu\tau$ and $(\mu\tau)^a$ are different. By Clifford theory, $\tilde{\pi} := \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)}(\mu\tau)$ is an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$. Moreover, every irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ arises in this fashion. If $\tilde{\pi} = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)}(\mu\tau)$ then by Mackey theory it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{\pi}|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+} = \bigoplus_{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}} (\mu\tau)^a, \quad (1)$$

Since $(\mu\tau)^a \not\cong (\mu\tau)^b$ if $ab^{-1} \notin E^{\times 2}$, the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$ is multiplicity free. Further restriction of $\tilde{\pi}$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\pi}|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)} = \bigoplus_{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}} \tau^a. \quad (2)$$

This identification of $\tilde{\pi}$ restricted to $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ allows us to study the multiplicity of the restriction of a representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ restricted to $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$, and in particular shows that it may be greater than one.

2. θ -CORRESPONDENCE AND WALDSPURGER INVOLUTION

In this section, we recall some results of Waldspurger from [2], related to θ -correspondence between $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E) = \mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$ and that between $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ and $\mathrm{PD}^\times = \mathrm{SO}(3)$, where D is the unique quaternion division algebra over E . We will use these results repeatedly.

Now fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of E . With respect to this ψ , one has the θ -correspondence between irreducible admissible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ and irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \xrightarrow{\theta(-, \psi)} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)),$$

as well as one between irreducible admissible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ and irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{PD}^\times$

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \xrightarrow{\theta(-, \psi)} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PD}^\times).$$

This correspondence $\tau \mapsto \theta(\tau, \psi)$ depends on ψ and will be abbreviated to $\tau \mapsto \theta(\tau)$ as ψ will be fixed. The θ -correspondence between $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ gives a one to one mapping from the subset of irreducible admissible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ which have ψ -Whittaker model onto all irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$. Similarly, θ -correspondence between $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ and $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ gives a one to one mapping from the subset of irreducible admissible genuine representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ which do not have ψ -Whittaker model onto all irreducible representations of $\mathrm{PD}^\times$. Thus θ -correspondence defines a bijection (which depends on the choice of ψ):

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)) \bigsqcup \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PD}^\times). \quad (3)$$

Now we can describe the Waldspurger involution [2] $W : \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E))$ which is defined using

- (1) the θ -correspondence from $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$,
- (2) the θ -correspondence from $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ to $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ and
- (3) the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence between representations of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ and $\mathrm{PD}^\times$, and makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{PGL}_2(E) \\ \uparrow w & & \uparrow J-L \\ \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{PD}^\times \end{array}$$

This involution is defined on the set of all representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ whose fixed points are precisely the irreducible admissible genuine representations which are not discrete series representations. Denote this involution by $\tau \mapsto \tau_W$. This involution is independent of the character ψ chosen to define it.

The following theorem summarizes some of the results of Waldspurger from [2] which are relevant to our analysis. This theorem is in terms of the local ϵ -factors of Jacquet-Langlands, which we will use without reviewing.

Theorem 1. *Let τ be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$. Let ψ be a non-trivial additive character of E . For $a \in E^\times$, let χ_a be the quadratic character of $E^\times$ defined by $\chi_a(x) = (a, x)$ where $(-, -)$ denotes the Hilbert symbol with values in $\{\pm 1\}$. Both the representations τ and τ^a of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ are in the domain*

of theta correspondence (with respect to the character ψ) either with $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ or with $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ if and only if

$$\epsilon(\theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a) = \chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\theta(\tau)),$$

and then

$$\theta(\tau^a) \cong \theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a.$$

If $\epsilon(\theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a) = -\chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\theta(\tau))$, and if $\theta(\tau)$ is a representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ then $\theta(\tau^a)$ is a representation of $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ and vice-versa, and

$$\theta(\tau^a) = \theta(\tau)^{JL} \otimes \chi_a.$$

3. MULTIPLICITY FORMULA ON RESTRICTION FROM $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ TO $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$

Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$. Let μ be a character of $\tilde{Z}$ and τ an irreducible representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$, which are compatible, such that $\mu\tau$ appears in $\tilde{\pi}$ restricted to $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$. We have

$$\tilde{\pi}|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+} = \bigoplus_{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}} (\mu^a \tau^a)$$

where $a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}$ are elements of the split torus $T \cong E^\times \times E^\times$ of the form $\mathrm{diag}(a, 1)$. Since the restriction of $\mu\tau$ from $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ is τ , the multiplicity with which the representation τ appears in $\tilde{\pi}$, to be denoted by $m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau)$, is given by

$$m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau) = \#\{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2} : \tau^a \cong \tau\}.$$

Lemma 1. *For an irreducible admissible representation τ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$, and $a \in E^\times$, we have*

$$\tau \cong \tau^a \iff \begin{cases} (1) & \theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a \cong \theta(\tau) \\ (2) & \chi_a(-1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It $\tau \cong \tau^a$, then considering the central characters on both sides, we find that $\chi_a(-1) = 1$. Further, if $\tau \cong \tau^a$, then in particular, they both have θ lifts either to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ or $\mathrm{PD}^\times$, and $\theta(\tau) \cong \theta(\tau^a)$. Thus from Theorem 1 due to Waldspurger, we deduce the assertion in the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 1. *The multiplicity of τ in $\tilde{\pi}$ is given by*

$$m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau) = \#\{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2} : \theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a \cong \theta(\tau) \text{ and } \chi_a(-1) = +1\}.$$

It is well-known that for a representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, cf. [1]

$$m(\pi) := \#\{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2} : \pi \cong \pi \otimes \chi_a\} \in \{1, 2, 4\}.$$

The condition $\chi_a(-1) = 1$ is automatic in some situations for example if $-1 \in E^{\times 2}$. Thus we get $m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau)$ to be any of the following possibilities:

$$m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau) = 1, 2 \text{ or } 4$$

for some p -adic field for any p , including $p = 2$.

4. A LEMMA ON WALDSPURGER INVOLUTION

We recall that for an irreducible admissible genuine representation τ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$, the central characters of τ and τ_W are different. The group $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$, or what amounts to simply $E^\times$ sitting inside $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ as $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : e \in E^\times \right\}$, acts on the set of irreducible representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ denoted by $\tau \mapsto \tau^a$ for $a \in E^\times$. Since a similar action produces an L -packet for $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$, whereas for $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$, one defines an L -packet by taking τ and τ_W , we investigate in this section if it can happen that $\tau_W \cong \tau^a$ for some $a \in E^\times$ and τ a discrete series representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$.

Lemma 2. *Let τ be a discrete series representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$. Let ψ be a non-trivial additive character of E such that τ has θ lift to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ with respect to ψ . Then there exists $a \in E^\times$ with $\tau^a \cong \tau_W$ if and only if for $\pi = \theta(\tau, \psi)$, we have*

- (i) $\pi \cong \pi \otimes \chi_a$
- (ii) $\chi_a(-1) = -1$.

Proof. Let $\pi = \theta(\tau, \psi)$ and $\theta(\tau_W, \psi) = \pi^{JL}$, where π^{JL} denotes the representation of $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ which is associated to π via the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. From Theorem 1 it follows that if $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \chi_a) = \chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\pi)$, then τ^a lift to $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ and not to $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ and hence τ^a cannot be isomorphic to τ_W . Thus if τ^a were isomorphic to τ_W , then we must have $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \chi_a) = -\chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\pi)$. In this case, by Theorem 1, τ^a lifts to $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ and is $\pi^{JL} \otimes \chi_a$. Therefore

$$\tau^a \cong \tau_W \iff \begin{cases} (i) & \epsilon(\pi \otimes \chi_a) = -\chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\pi) \\ (ii) & \pi^{JL} \cong \pi^{JL} \otimes \chi_a. \end{cases}$$

The equations (i) and (ii) can be combined to say that

$$\tau^a \cong \tau_W \iff \begin{cases} (i) & \pi \cong \pi \otimes \chi_a \\ (ii) & \chi_a(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

As a consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain:

Corollary 2. *Let τ be an irreducible genuine discrete series representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$. Let $m_1 = \#\{\tau^a, (\tau_W)^a \mid a \in E^\times\}$, and let m_2 be the cardinality of the L -packet of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ determined by $\theta(\tau, \psi)$. Then*

$$m_1 \cdot m_2 = 2[E^\times : E^{\times 2}].$$

Corollary 3. *If π is a principal series representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ with $\pi \otimes \chi_a \cong \pi$, then π must be the principal series representation $Ps(\mu, \mu\chi_a)$ with $\mu^2 = \chi_a$, and as a result $\chi_a(-1) = \mu^2(-1) = 1$. Therefore if τ is an irreducible admissible representation of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ with $\theta(\tau)$ an irreducible principal series representation of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$, then*

for $m_1 = \#\{\tau^a \mid a \in E^\times\}$, and m_2 the cardinality of the L -packet of $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ determined by $\theta(\tau, \psi)$,

$$m_1 \cdot m_2 = [E^\times : E^{\times 2}].$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Labesse and R. P. Langlands, '*L-Indistinguishability for $\mathrm{SL}(2)$* ', Canad. J. Math., vol. 31, No. 4 (1979), 726-785.
- [2] J.-L. Waldspurger, '*Correspondance de Shimura et quaternions*', Forum Mathematicum, vol. 3, No. 3 (1991), 219-307.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: shiv@math.tifr.res.in

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: dprasad@math.tifr.res.in